MINUTES OF MEETING MEDITERRA COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

The Board of Supervisors of the Mediterra Community Development District held a Regular Meeting on June 20, 2024 at 9:00 a.m., in the Bella Vita I Room at the Sports Club at Mediterra, 15735 Corso Mediterra Circle, Naples, Florida 34110.

Present were:

Robert Greenberg Chair
Kenneth Tarr (via telephone) Vice Chair

Vicki Gartland Assistant Secretary
Mary Wheeler Assistant Secretary
John Henry Assistant Secretary

Also present:

Chuck Adams

Cleo Adams

District Manager

District Manager

Operations Manager

Wes Haber (via telephone)

Mark Zordan

District Counsel

District Engineer

Abe Elizarraraz Johnson Engineering, Inc.

Andy Nott Superior Waterway Services, Inc.

Bill Bowden MCA General Manager Mike Radford MRI Inspection, LLC Brenda Radford MRI Inspection, LLC

FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS

Call to Order/Roll Call

Mr. Adams called the meeting to order at 9:01 a.m.

Supervisors Wheeler, Gartland, Henry and Greenberg were present. Supervisor Tarr attended via telephone.

SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS

Public Comments (3 minutes)

No members of the public spoke.

THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS

Chairman's Comments

Mr. Greenberg stated that he will take some agenda items out of order according to priorities and due to time constraints. It was noted that, while the incumbent Board Members have qualified for election at the November 2024 General Election, the information is not reflected on the Supervisor of Elections website.

- Continued Discussion: RFP for Right-of-Way Fuel Load Reduction Services
 - A. Project Manual
 - B. Evaluation Criteria

This item, previously the Eighth Order of Business, was presented out of order.

Mr. Zordan presented the Request for Proposals (RFP) for Right-of-Way Fuel Load Reduction Services. He stated that it was reviewed by District Counsel and that it is ready to be advertised, unless there are any edits or changes.

Mr. Greenberg complimented Mr. Zordan and District Counsel for putting together an excellent package. He stated that he emailed Ms. Willson to reiterate that he wants to avoid a debacle when the Board considers the proposals and Ms. Willson reassured him that the process will avoid such issues in the future.

Mr. Henry echoed Mr. Greenberg's comments and stated that he finds the RFP to be very professionally done.

Mr. Henry, Ms. Wheeler and Ms. Gartland had no issues with proceeding.

Mr. Tarr questioned the provision on Page 1 of the Public Notice, in which the proposal guaranteed a Bid Bond or a Cashier's Check for 5% of the proposal amount and asked if the CDD had that type of requirement before. Mr. Adams stated that provision is standard when publishing a Lake and Wetland Maintenance RFP.

Mr. Tarr stated that he found the proposal to be exceptionally well done and asked if the requirement referenced might deter some smaller entities from bidding. Mr. Adams stated that the requirement only applies to sealed bidding.

Mr. Tarr asked if a Protest Bond was associated with the lake contract that was awarded.

Mr. Haber stated if the CDD typically awards contracts below the \$195,000 estimated cost threshold, the CDD likely did not go through the formal RFP process in which the Bid Bond and the Protest Bond are part of the package. There is no legal requirement for those bonds but they are frequently used for higher-end projects with more formal RFP processes involving sealed bidding. The Board will choose the company it finds most qualified to do the work at the best price but they are not obligated to choose the lowest bid. The Evaluation Criteria give the opportunity to evaluate qualifications and price; if a lower priced bidder is chosen and backs out for some reason and the CDD must pay more to complete the job, the Bid Bond puts the obligation on another entity to make up the difference between the lower and the higher price. Bidders who are not awarded a contract have the right to file a protest; the Protest Bond is to cover the costs associated with a Bid Protest. While neither are required, they are often recommended for contracts of these sizes.

Mr. Tarr asked Mr. Haber if he thinks this requirement will deter bidders. Mr. Haber deferred to Mr. Adams. Mr. Adams stated, given the size of the companies proposing, the 5% is not a deterrent. It was noted that the funds go back to the bidders upon expiration.

On MOTION by Ms. Gartland and seconded by Ms. Wheeler, with all in favor, the RFP for Right-of-Way Fuel Load Reduction Services, Evaluation Criteria and authorizing Staff to advertise, were approved.

Discussion/ Consideration: MRI Inspections LLC Report & Proposals
 This item, previously the Fifth Order of Business, was presented out of order.

Mr. Radford presented the MRI Inspections LLC Report and Proposals and stated that the Report is lengthy and detailed and videos are also available. Some pipes that could not be inspected due to flow will be inspected in the coming weeks; however, the Report was submitted, as requested, in time for consideration at the meeting. The proposal submitted is based upon the 25% blockage cleaning threshold previously established. It was noted that changing the cleaning threshold to 30% blockage would bring the bid below the \$195,000 threshold that activates the need for the sealed bidding process. Very few structural repairs

were located; there are no breaches or cracks and the pipes seem to be in good shape in general.

Mr. Greenberg suggested the Board discuss whether to make a temporary or permanent change to the cleaning threshold.

Mr. Henry asked when the project would start and end. Mr. Radford stated the project is substantial and given the start of rainy season, he estimates it will take three to four months to complete; deferring until after the rainy season is not recommended because the divers need the water to access and move debris.

Discussion ensued regarding budgetary constraints, bifurcating the project into north and south phases, the scope of work and the bidding threshold.

Mr. Radford stated that he provided as much of a discount as possible and noted that extensive manpower is needed for much of the work.

Discussion ensued regarding use of unassigned funds, budgeting the project phases by County and the scope of work.

In response to Mr. Greenberg's question, Mr. Haber stated that a contract cannot be split into two contracts in order to circumvent the bid threshold. Raising the cleaning threshold to 30% for this year was discussed. Mr. Haber stated that changing the scope of the project is acceptable.

Ms. Wheeler expressed concern about increasing the blockage threshold to 30%. Mr. Adams stated the ROV inspection found the structural integrity to be in good condition.

Ms. Wheeler motioned to change the Board policy to clean pipes that are 30% or more occluded and to approve the contract to a not-to-exceed amount of \$195,000. The motion died due to lack of a second.

The Board and Staff discussed which other companies might submit competitive bids, the past storm, the current level of debris in the pipes and the long history of M.R.I. cleaning the pipes with a 25% blockage threshold. It was noted that projects have been executed in multiple phases in the past.

Mr. Greenberg voiced his opinion that the simplest solution is to raise the blockage threshold to 30% and set an amount not-to-exceed \$195,000. Mr. Henry and Ms. Gartland

expressed concern about not completing the bidding process. Mr. Greenberg stated that changing the scope of work is within the purview of the Board. It was noted that changes to scope are typical for budgetary reasons or for the sake of scheduling.

Mr. Radford stated that any of the cleanings excluded this year will be prioritized next year. He recommended not increasing the scope to exclude any areas beyond 30%.

Mr. Greenberg stated he would like the Board to reach a consensus on which option to approve, whether it be pursuing the RFP process with the current proposal, taking the risk and breaking the project up into two contracts to avoid having the project exceed the threshold that would require the RFP process, or changing the Board policy for cleaning from 25% to 30% blockage or leaving it up to future Boards to decide on the percentage. Mr. Greenberg stated the last option is his preferred alternative.

The Board and Staff discussed the alternatives and their preferences and discussed the reasons.

Mr. Greenberg voiced his belief that, historically, the pipes were blocked well over 50% before the policy to clean them was established three years ago. He noted that the issue can be added to the agenda next January so that it can be considered in greater detail.

Mrs. Adams stated that the rainy season is underway and, if the sealed bidding process is initiated, another bid cannot be considered by the Board until August.

Ms. Wheeler's previous motion to increase the cleaning threshold to 30% with a not-to-exceed amount of \$195,000 was withdrawn.

Asked if there is a chance of a cost increase while the project is underway, Mr. Radford replied no; the inspection was as thorough as possible. Mrs. Adams noted that the inspection was recorded on video.

Mr. Tarr asked if the project should be prioritized based on severity of blockage.

Discussion ensued regarding the report, excavation methods for a 500' section of pipes with root intrusion and the scope of work.

Mr. Radford stated that divers do not go past the 50' mark due to the danger to divers and stated, if he did not believe the goal could be accomplished with the methods outlined, he

would have proposed different methods. He sees no pipe issues and does not anticipate the need for pipe replacements, pipe repairs or mud work as has been done in previous years.

Mr. Greenberg suggested amending the earlier motion to address Collier County first, as it is the biggest part of the proposal, and it will allow Lake 8 to be treated first.

Mr. Tarr expressed concern about pipes that could not be inspected, given past issues, and noted the need to work those pipes into the project. Mr. Radford stated that the flow in those pipes is so significant and the pipes appeared fairly clean; therefore, he does not anticipate any issues and will honor the price given, should anything be needed.

On MOTION by Mr. Henry and seconded by Ms. Gartland, with all in favor, dividing the project into Phase 1 Collier County and Phase 2 Lee County, with the second phase immediately following the first phase, cleaning areas that are 25% or more occluded and providing for the Board to evaluate the completed Phase 1 work before proceeding with Phase 2, and adjusting any additional cost considerations, was approved.

Continued Discussion: Fiscal Year 2024/2025 Proposed Budget

This item, previously the Seventh Order of Business, was presented out of order.

Mr. Adams presented the proposed Fiscal Year 2024/2025 budget and stated he made the revisions discussed at the last meeting to keep assessments the same, year over year. It was noted that the budget spreadsheet will be corrected; Fiscal Year 2025 on-roll net assessment levy will increase to \$1,352,268 and total revenues will increase to \$1,382,268. The excess of revenues over expenditures will increase to \$119,619, Unassigned Fund Balance will increase to \$963,413 and the ending Fund Balance will increase to \$1,314,075.

Mr. Tarr voiced his opinion that the interest rate is too high. Mr. Greenberg stated the current interest rate is 4.75% indexed to the 10-year Treasury note. No change was made.

Mr. Henry suggested reducing Unassigned fund balance in next year's budget.

The Board and Staff discussed unassigned funds, assessments and increase to fund balance.

Mr. Tarr expressed concern that the proposals for Mr. Radford's work and fire prevention are not budgeted for in the current budget.

Discussion ensued regarding those projects to be funded by the Unassigned fund

balance and the Unaudited Financials.

Ms. Gartland supports discussing a reduction to assessments next year. She asked for

the "Total expenditures and other uses" line item, on Page 2, to be bold, all capitalized and not

indented.

Mr. Henry suggested several revisions to the underlining and placement of the totals

and subtotals on Page 2. Mr. Adams will see if such revisions can be made.

On MOTION by Mr. Henry and seconded by Ms. Gartland, with all in favor, the adjusted and amended Fiscal Year 2024/2025 Proposed Budget, as discussed,

was approved.

Mr. Willis distributed three handouts that were not included in the agenda, including

the Aquatics Update, the Crosscreek proposal for aeration and fireball cabinets and a scope

comparison for the Crosscreek and Superior Waterways proposals.

FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Update: Aquatics Report - June 2024

Mr. Willis presented the Aquatics Report, which was not included in the agenda. He

noted the following:

Lake 65 had a significant algae bloom; aggressive treatment was applied and significant

improvement was observed. The aeration is being monitored to ensure proper operation.

Lake 50 is in the vicinity of a construction site, and an unauthorized trench was dug to

drain water from the site. The Construction Superintendent was contacted and addressed the

issue the same day. Crosscreek will continue monitoring the area.

Aeration repairs are underway in several areas.

Mr. Greenberg noted that Lake 65 is next to the north wall just west of Terrazzo,

between Terrazzo and Amarron.

FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Discussion/ Consideration: MRI Inspections

LLC Report & Proposals

7

This item was presented following the Third Order of Business.

SIXTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Discussion/Consideration: Aeration Cabinet Replacement/Fire Suppression

Install Proposals

Mr. Willis presented the scope comparison for the Crosscreek and Superior Waterways proposals. He noted that the Superior Waterways per unit cost for installation of 6" fireballs should be corrected to reflect the cost as \$100 per unit.

Mr. Greenberg noted that Crosscreek charges \$163 per unit.

Mr. Greenberg stated the total cost of the Crosscreek proposal is \$110,804.43.

Mr. Adams stated the Superior Waterways proposal is \$87,378.

Mr. Nott stated the additional \$4,750 in optional costs identified during the assessment represent additional repairs to platforms for aerators in low lying areas prone to flooding. The consensus was that this should be excluded as it is out of the scope of work and will be considered separately.

Ms. Wheeler asked if the CDD would get credit for aerator cabinet scrap metal. Mr. Nott stated that, while the cabinets are not used for scrap metal due to labor costs, he will reuse any cabinets elsewhere on CDD property whenever possible.

Mr. Henry asked if the purpose of the project is to accommodate the 6" fireballs and asked why the entire project is being done now if the 4" fireballs do not yet need replacement.

The Board and Staff discussed the need to protect conservation areas, whether to adjust the scope of work and wait to replace the 4" fireballs early, previous fires in the conservation areas and the Board's direction to install fireballs in every cabinet.

Ms. Wheeler believes the Board's decision is to put fireballs in all cabinets, even those not in proximity to conservation areas with a lot of combustible materials.

Mr. Greenberg noted the Board's concern and expressed support for completing the entire project, consistent with the fuel load reduction plan, to minimize the risk to the community and the preserves from possible fire. Asked if all must be done now, he replied no, but there is also a cost associated with mobilization.

Mr. Nott responded to questions and described installation and system reconfiguration

processes. Asked if scope of work changes would affect the price, he stated that he would have

to check prices, as increases are anticipated in the coming year. The manufacturer advised that

they discontinued the 4" fireball but they have no plans to discontinue the 6" fireballs.

The discontinuation of 4" fireballs, the useful lifespan and the value of the fireball

warranty, technical specifications of vane and piston compressors and equipment, capacity of

cabinets and installation processes were discussed.

On MOTION by Ms. Gartland and seconded by Ms. Wheeler, with all in favor, accepting the Superior proposal, less the optional work at this time, was

approved.

Mr. Greenberg commended Mr. Nott on his thorough and responsive proposal.

It was noted that the public bid opening scheduled for July 29, 2024 does not require

Board attendance. The meeting will be run by Mr. Zordan, the District Engineer. Members of

the public can attend.

SEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Continued Discussion: Fiscal Year

2024/2025 Proposed Budget

This item was presented following the Third Order of Business.

EIGHTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Continued Discussion: RFP for Right-of-

Way Fuel Load Reduction Services

Project Manual Α.

В. **Evaluation Criteria**

This item was presented following the Third Order of Business.

NINTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Update: 2023 Annual Sediment Sampling &

Water Quality Testing Summary Report

9

Mrs. Adams distributed the 2023 Annual Sediment Sampling & Water Quality Testing Summary Report, which was not included in the agenda.

Mr. Elizarraraz apologized for the lateness of the Report and introduced himself as the new Project Manager, moving forward. He presented the Report dated June 20, 2024 and responded to questions. Dry season sediment samples were collected from stormwater treatment Ponds L-24, L-35, L-37, L-52 and L-55 in 2023. Four of the five ponds had muck present; total nitrogen and total phosphorus results were fairly low.

Mr. Greenberg asked for L-24 Coco 2 to remain on the Report, as a pipe collapse occurred. Mr. Elizarraraz stated that the Report is in a one-page Summary format as previously requested and asked if that is desired, given that more detail is available.

Mr. Greenberg stated he likes the Executive Summary Report; he asked for year-overyear information to be provided in the future, as well as the Executive Summary Report.

Asked about the dissolved oxygen in Lake 37, Mr. Elizarraraz stated that was below the recommended threshold last year and, this year, Lake 55 was below the threshold. It does not mean a critical issue exists, as it could result from the location sampled. Mr. Greenberg noted that is one more reason that year over year reports are needed.

Asked if the lake meets the State's Class III Certification, Mr. Elizarraraz replied affirmatively. He discussed Florida's freshwater standards in CDD ponds and surrounding waters.

Mr. Greenberg stated this means that Mediterra is not contributing to any environmental damage in the discharge creeks; as Class III bodies of water, Mediterra's ponds are suitable for recreational use, including fishing. The ponds are in very good shape.

Discussion ensued regarding water quality measurements and the need to monitor the Reports. It was noted that the composition of muck matters more than the quantity.

Asked how major rain events affect dissolved oxygens, Mr. Elizarraraz stated it would depend upon the intensity of the rain event. He noted that turbidity can increase aeration; he recalled that Lake 37 has a surface fountain but aerators do more to improve oxygenation.

Discussion: Lake Bank Erosion

This item was an addition to the agenda.

Mr. Greenberg referred to a map and discussed lake bank erosion in need of repair in Villoresi, near Lake 6. The lake runs north to south and erosion is occurring at a drain structure at the southern end. Mrs. Adams stated the erosion and failed riprap is a warranty matter.

Mr. Greenberg stated that Staff and vendors are aware of the issue; residents can rest assured that it is a warranty matter that will be promptly addressed.

Discussion ensued regarding the possible causes of the erosion, the Corso issues, riprap that is being repaired and a storm grate that was covered with pine needles.

Discussion: Medici Remediation Project on Lake 22

This item was an addition to the agenda.

Ms. Wheeler commended MRI on its work on what turned into a huge project. Sod was installed multiple times and repeatedly died after being submerged.

Discussion ensued regarding new sod installed to control that did not take root.

Mr. Greenberg stated his opinion that it is a policy debate, as to whether the CDD should restore the sod above the control line. Mr. Henry voiced his opinion that the CDD should not perform lake restorations during the rainy season for this reason and only perform lake bank restoration during the dry season.

Mr. Tarr discussed challenges that MRI faced following a perfect first application of mat and remediation. A heavy rain storm deposited over 1" of rain on the lake bank within 15 minutes on the day of the new sod installation. The sod installers saw the washouts and the owner of the company decided to have his crew fix it by cutting the mat up with shovels borrowed from irrigation crews working on the lake bank. Medici later discovered multiple houses adjacent to the lake bank that violated Design Review Committee (DRC) guidelines with stormwater drain pipes above ground, in violation of guidelines which require drain pipes to drain into the lake. Mr. Tarr stated, when he was Chair of the Mediterra Architectural Review Committee (ARC), no applications addressed stormwater issues. As such, he negotiated with MRI to cure all the drainpipe issues at a cost of \$1,000 per home. After the sod was pulled up, MRI removed the old damaged mat and did a different process to force water through the mat rather than under the mat, thus remediating the lake bank twice. He voiced his opinion that, because the CDD did not obtain timely bids months earlier and delayed approving the project,

the project was pushed much closer to rainy season. He believes that Mr. Radford lost money on this project and stated that Medici spent \$9,000 to repair the issue and spent \$3,000 to repair irrigation. He stated that Medici spent \$12,000 and voiced his opinion that, when water returns to control level, Medici will have a disaster with many pallets of dead grass. He feels that the project could have been completed sooner if bids were obtained and approved earlier.

Mr. Greenberg thinks this is not worth debating; the point is that the CDD Board operates in its budget cycle and its vendor cycle, as a Board.

Mr. Tarr argued that MRI lost money and Medici spent a lot of money to cure issues yet Medici now faces dead grass.

Mr. Greenberg stated the Board does not have to rush to make a policy decision or approve a vendor contract. He understands that, if the contract had been approved three months earlier the Board might not face this problem now; however, he is not willing to accept responsibility that the Board needed to rush so that a contractor could do the job before an unexpected, excessively heavy rain storm. He thinks that the policy matter is whether the CDD can consider this a "one off" and have it never happen again; he is willing to say the CDD would like to contribute to help Medici but he is not willing to create a precedent.

Mr. Greenberg stated the Board is now sensitive to the issue and suggested Ms. Wheeler ask him to add the subject to the agenda at the appropriate time when she is ready.

Ms. Wheeler suggested October. Mr. Greenberg voiced his opinion that pricing should be obtained in advance.

Ms. Wheeler thinks the CDD Board should be aware that homeowner sprinklers will not water the grass to the control line. She suggested the HOA be advised accordingly. Mr. Radford stated that most CDD irrigation is not set up to water to the control line. Mr. Greenberg pointed out that this is not a CDD issue; the MCA can be asked to send a notification.

Discussion ensued regarding the erosion mat warranty, the need to advise homeowners of their responsibility to extend pipes into the lake and Staff documentation of issues and communications to residents including approved vendors for remediation. It was noted that, when homeowner repairs are required, they must be completed before CDD remediations are done. Issues with incomplete and faulty repairs were discussed.

Mr. Greenberg asked Mr. Haber to determine if the CDD has the authority to remediate and perform the repair should a resident's property cause damage to CDD property, and then enforce collection of the cost. It was noted that solutions will not be one size fits all and that, if two homeowners blame washout on each other, the CDD will not mediate the problem.

Ms. Wheeler stated that a homeowner reported a pipe floating in the lake. Mr. Radford will look into it.

TENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Acceptance of Unaudited Financial Statements as of March 31, 2024

- 2024 Operations Financial Impact Analysis
- Breakdown/Summary Report

On MOTION by Mr. Henry and seconded by Ms. Wheeler, with all in favor, the Unaudited Financial Statements as of March 31, 2024, were accepted.

ELEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Approval of May 15, 2024 Regular Meeting Minutes

Mr. Greenberg presented the May 15, 2024 Regular Meeting Minutes.

On MOTION by Ms. Wheeler and seconded by Mr. Henry, with all in favor, the May 15, 2024 Regular Meeting Minutes, as presented, were approved.

TWELFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Staff Reports

- A. District Counsel: Kutak Rock LLP
 - Continued Discussion: Insurance Policy

This item was discussed below.

- B. District Engineer: Johnson Engineering, Inc.
 - Continued Discussion: Maintenance Responsibilities for Mediterra Outfalls

This item was discussed below.

C. District Manager: Wrathell, Hunt and Associates, LLC

- 2024 Operations Financial Impact Analysis
- Breakdown/Summary Report
- NEXT MEETING DATE: August 21, 2024 Public Hearing and Regular Meeting at
 9:00 AM

QUORUM CHECK

This item was discussed below.

D. Operations Manager: Wrathell, Hunt and Associates, LLC

Mr. Willis stated the Lake Audit is two-thirds completed and he anticipates it to be completed next week. A report will be submitted for the agenda. The Florida Power & Light (FPL) boxes are not a CDD responsibility; as the audit progresses, boxes in disrepair will be reported.

Key Activity Dates Report

The April 2024 Key Activity Dates Report was included for informational purposes.

Continued Discussion: Insurance Policy

This item, previously Item 12A, was presented out of order.

Mr. Haber stated Ms. Willson followed up with the insurer; an email was sent to advise that the CDD's stormwater management system is not an insurable asset for the CDD's property insurance policy. He will work with Staff to ensure that every insurable asset is included.

Discussion ensued regarding insurance policies.

Mr. Greenberg stated there is no statutory requirement for the CDD to have such insurance; the CDD has the option to do so, as a matter of Board policy.

Continued Discussion: Maintenance Responsibilities for Mediterra Outfalls

This item, previously Item 12B, was presented out of order.

Mr. Zordan presented the Major Outfalls Structures #1 & #2 Inspection Report. He stated that the bubble up structure on the south side of Veteran's Memorial Boulevard is the CDD's responsibility; repairs should wait until the dry season.

Mr. Zordan stated that Collier County engaged Johnson Engineering to replace two outfall structures on the south side of Imperial and to modify four other structures. The project is at 60% in the design phase and expected to be completed late this year. Collier County is to provide the funding.

Mr. Greenberg stated that a proposal for repairs is needed for the dry season.

Discussion ensued regarding the map of outfall structures and the need for proposals for work to be performed during the dry season.

THIRTEENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Action/Agenda or Completed Items

The consensus was that Staff will update the Action Items.

FOURTEENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Old Business

Mr. Greenberg stated that phone participants were unable to participate, as they had an incorrect phone number. Mr. Adams stated that residents can utilize the conference number as long as they do not abuse it. Mr. Greenberg stated he would like to allow Zoom and audio participation. Mr. Willis will address this request.

FIFTEENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Supervisors' Requests

Mr. Tarr voiced his opinion that the cane toad program has been successful and asked if a similar need for iguana control has been observed.

Mr. Adams stated a proposal will be requested for consideration.

- NEXT MEETING DATE: August 21, 2024 Public Hearing and Regular Meeting at 9:00 AM
 - QUORUM CHECK

This item, previously part of Item 12C, was presented out of order.

The Board and Staff discussed the need for quorum at the August meeting. Ms. Wheeler and Mr. Greenberg will attend in person. Mr. Tarr, Mr. Henry and Ms. Gartland planned to attend via telephone. The consensus was that Ms. Gartland will fly in for the Board meeting.

SIXTEENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Public Comments (3 minutes per speaker)

No members of the public spoke.

SEVENTEENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Adjournment

On MOTION by Mr. Henry and seconded by Ms. Gartland, with all in favor, the meeting adjourned at 11:34 a.m.

[SIGNATURES APPEAR ON THE FOLLOWING PAGE]

June 20, 2024

Secretary Assistant Secretary

Chair/Vice €hair